UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/627,725	07/28/2003	Ho-Jin Kweon	1567.1007D	7093
49455 STEIN MCEW	7590 04/07/200 EN. LLP	EXAMINER		
1400 EYE STR		CREPEAU, JONATHAN		
SUITE 300 WASHINGTOI	N, DC 20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1795	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/07/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/627,725	KWEON ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

		Johannah Crepeau	1793	
Th	ne MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY F	FILED <u>30 March 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
applicati applicati	y was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on ion, applicant must timely file one of the following ion in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe inued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) 🔲 The	period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.		
no e Exar MON	period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A vent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire laminer Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (NTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(1)	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE (f).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FI	on. LED WITHIN TWO
have been filed under 37 CFR 1 set forth in (b) a	me may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext .17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sbove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date.	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Not	ice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two month	s of the date of
	Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wire			e appeal. Since a
	pposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b			cause
	hey raise new issues that would require further cor	·	ΓE below);	
(c) 🔲 TI	ney raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belowed to place the application in betoppeal; and/or	•	ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for
(d) 🔲 Ti	ney present additional claims without canceling a close present additional claims without canceling a close present additional claims without canceling a claim present additional claims without canceling and claim present additional claims are claim present additional claims and claim present additional claims are claim present additional claims and claim present additional claims are claim present additional claims and claim present additional claims are claim present additional claims and claims are claim present additional claims and claims are claims are claims are claims and claims are claims are claims are claims are claims and claims are cl	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
_	endments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).
5. 🔲 Applica	nt's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	:		
non-allo	proposed or amended claim(s) would be all wable claim(s).			_
how the The stat Claim(s)	poses of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [ new or amended claims would be rejected is proving of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: allowed: 11-14,17-20,22-24,38,39 and 41. b) objected to:		i be entered and an e.	xplanation of
	) rejected to: ) rejected: <u>40</u> .			
	withdrawn from consideration:			
	R OTHER EVIDENCE			
because	davit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu e applicant failed to provide a showing of good and earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
entered	davit or other evidence filed after the date of filing because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
10. 🔲 The aff	idavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation OR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. 🛛 The red	quest for reconsideration has been considered but ontinuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12.	ne attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
		/Jonathan Crepeau/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1795	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The submission of the priority document translations is not sufficient to overcome the rejection based on the Kweon et al. '911 patent. It is noted that the subject matter of claim 40 has to be entirely supported by the 2000-59336 application, since this application is the only priority document to antedate the 2/6/01 publication date of the '911 patent. The document that appears to correspond to the '336 application translation has been reviewed (it is noted that Applicants do not include specific identifying information prior to each document as seen the electronic file wrapper, therefore the Examiner has determined that the first document appears to correspond to the '336 application); however the application fails to support the subject matter of claim 40 in the manner required by 35 USC 112 first paragraph. Claim 40 does not restrict the composition of the coating; however, the '336 application is entirely directed to hydroxide coatings. Accordingly, the disclosure of a hydroxide coating is not considered to support the breadth of current claim 40. Additionally, Applicant is advised that there may be other instances of unsupported claim limitations; the entirety of claim 40 has not been reviewed with respect to the '336 application.

Applicants further state that the '911 patent is not usable in a 103 rejection due to the exclusion under 35 USC 103(c). However, it is submitted that Applicant's remarks are insufficient to remove the reference as prior art according to 35 USC 103(c). Applicants supply a quotation of the statute, but do not actually and positively state that the subject matter of the '911 patent and the instant application were commonly owned at the time of the invention. This language, used specifically in reference to the '911 patent and the instant application, must be positively and unequivocally stated to remove the reference pursuant to 35 USC 103(c).